UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 :
This document relates to:	: 1:20-md-02974-LMM :
GABRIELLA TORRES	:
VS.	Civil Action No.:
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;; TEVA WOMEN'S HEALTH, LLC; TEVA BRANDED PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS R&D, INC.; THE COOPER COMPANIES, INC.; and COOPERSURGICAL, INC.	<pre>: : : : : : : : : :</pre>
SHORT FORM Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) name	COMPLAINT ned below, and for her/their Complaint
against the Defendant(s) named below, in	corporate(s) the Second Amended Master
Personal Injury Complaint (Doc. No.	79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference.
Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:	
1. Name of Plaintiff placed with Par	agard:
GABRIELLA TOR	RES
2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse (i	f a party to the case): N/A

	If case is brought in a representative capacity, Name of Other Plainti and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator):		
	N/A		
S	State of Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in		
	representative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's origin		
_	State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placemer California		
	State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: California		
	District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue		
	would be proper: California Eastern District Court—Sacramento, California		
	Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defenda		
	against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five		
	Defendants are the only defendants against whom a Short Fo		
	Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a defend		

in a Short Form Complaint.):

X	A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
X	B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
X	C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
$\square X$	D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
X	E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
9.	Basis of Jurisdiction
X	Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
	Other (if Other, identify below):
10.	

Date(s) Plaintiff had Paragard placed (DD/MM/YYYY)	Placing Physician(s) or other Health Care Provider (include City and State)	Date Plaintiff's Paragard was Removed (DD/MM/YYYY)* *If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list date of each separately.	Removal Physician(s) or other Health Care Provider (include City and State)** **If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list information separately.
07/01/2012	Dr. Michael Jones 1095 Marshall Way Placerville, CA 95667	04/09/2021	Dr. Tonantzin Rodriguez 4341 B Golden Center Dr Placerville, CA 95667
		05/27/2021	Dr Kenneth Nelson 1095 Marshall Way Placerville, CA 95667

11.	Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her		
	Paragard upon removal.		
X	Yes		
	No		
12.	Brief statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:		
	Significant pain and suffering, complicated medical interventions to remove broken Paragard,		
	loss of reproductive health, permanent impairment/disfigurement, and mental anguish.		
	Plaintiff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and		
	complications specific to her.		
13.	Product Identification:		
	a. Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known):		
	Unknown		
	b. Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the		
	HealthCare Provider who placed your Paragard:		
	□ Yes		
	⊗ No		
14.	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):		
I	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect		
X	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn		
X	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect		
X	Count IV – Negligence		
X	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		
X	Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn		

]	Cour	Count IX – Negligent Misrepresentation	
]	Cour	Count X – Breach of Express Warranty	
}	Cour	Count XI – Breach of Implied Warranty	
ţ	Cour	nt XII – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws	
]	Cour	Count XIII – Gross Negligence	
k	Cour	Count XIV – Unjust Enrichment	
k	Cour	Count XV – Punitive Damages	
]	Cour	Count XVI – Loss of Consortium	
]	Othe	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims	
ot it	nclude	d in the Master Complaint below):	
5.		ling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:	
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?	
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes	
	a. □X	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No	
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond	
	a. □X	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No	
	a. □X	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes No If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond	

16.	Cou	nt VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by Omission)
	alleg	gations:
	a.	Is Plaintiff is bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud &
		Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim
		for fraud or misrepresentation?
	$\Box_{\!$	Yes
		No
	b.	If Yes, the following information must be provided (in
		accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9,
		and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state
17.		law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false: Paragard more effective than other hormone free birth control methods.
		Easily reversible. Easy to remove. Nonsurgical removal in routine office visit. Can be removed anytime. Omitted breakage at or near routine removal requiring complicated medical intervention
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement:
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made:Plaintiff and her physicians
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was allegedly made:
		Various dates while Plaintiff intended to and was implanted with Paragard
	If DI	Various dates while Plaintiff intended to and was implanted with Paragard aintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging

- 17. If Plaintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:
 - a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? It is currently unknown if Plaintiffs' specific lot was defectively manufactured. Plaintiff will supplement as discovery proceeds.

18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is
	alleged in the Master Complaint:
19.	Jury Demand:
X	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	2 N K 6 2
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
	Erin Copeland
Address, pl	none number, email address and Bar information:
1150 Bissonnet	t Street, Houston, TX 77005
713-751-0025	

ecopel and @fibich law.com

SBN# 24028167/Federal ID# 29219